

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Size Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	A c r e s	avg.			Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
				Age	D B H	Tot. BA							
1	M 6	M 1	10	7	80	75	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
<p>comnts Fmd : Thinned 1990, some areas sparse but most areas not too low BA but no need for thinning now in most areas. Good regeneration in some areas. Thick F3 in some areas. A couple acres of larger nice cedar north part of stand and a vernal swale east/west. Crown room still in hardwood so no need for another thinning this entry period.</p>													
2	M 6	M 1	18	9	120	75	northern hardwood	old growth (potential or actual)	Y		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Influence zones</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Put in biodiversity maintenance last entry to protect riparian values of the Whitefish River. Larger trees here and high BA would indicate thinning needed for timber production. Would also need access across private land. Good den trees here. Mostly sugar maple with some other species. Old ruts indicate someone has used stand to access private land during wet weather sometime in past.</p>													
3	M 6	F 3	26	10	80	70	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
<p>comnts Fmd : Thick fir understory 2" dbh. Hardwood low BA not good timber quality but potential is here. Not quite ready for thinning. Mix of Hardwood and fir in overstory. Some areas only M5 or M4 as well as a few areas that are almost ready for thinning. Canker and low forks abound in areas. South edge a lot of hemlock. River riparian and public access stand.</p>													
4	M 6	M 1	52	7	100	70	northern hardwood	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Influence zones Water quality/bmps Blocked by other physical obstacle</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Poorer quality than stand 1 or 3. Good potential. Put in biodiversity maintenance last time to protect riparian values of Whitefish river and the two small streams that feed it and flow into the river through this stand. Some areas here have thick F3 up to 4" dbh. BA somewhat low in spots with large crowned trees. Not as big trees as northwest. Some areas not ready for thinning but some areas could be thinned. A couple acres of cedar near river are included in this stand. Access only through private.</p>													
5	Q 6	Q 1	10	76	7	120	28	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Influence zones Water quality/bmps</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Mostly a mix of C and E. Some F and E along South edge of hardwood stand. Mostly cedar south 10-14" dbh. Smaller trees south. Thick and thin patches with some birch and balsam poplar. Two small streams in stand. Put in Biodiversity maintenance to protect riparian values last entry.</p>													
6	M 6	M 1	13	8	90	65	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		20-29 years	0	
<p>comnts Fmd : M6, Cut prmt# 27-98-01, good quality pole stand. Some red maple and cherry, but mostly sugar maple. West part of stand west of small creek, 1 or 2 acres not cut. East part of stand slightly lower quality but still ok. Couple ash swales in stand.</p>													
7	A 2	F 1	45	3	1	0	63	aspen (upland)	immature	N		50-59 years	0
<p>comnts Fmd : Cut in 2002, 2003, Prmt. # 27-98-01, Now std. Is A2F2 with a few big yellow birch left, and some hemlock and cedar. Some red maple sprouts mostly with patches of aspen 10' tall. Some fir seeding in. More A3 west but less stocked west.</p>													

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Size Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	Age	A c r e s	avg.			Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
					D B H	Tot. BA	Site Indx							
8	Q 6	E 2	28	86	8	90	36	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	Y		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Influence zones Delayed treatment for age/size class diversity</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Mostly cedar but a mix of C,Q,P. Mostly cedar along creek and serves as riparian protection and put in biodiversity maintenance to protect riparian values. Patches of Q and E scattered and mixed with cedar. Also W. Birch, w. spruce, red maple, aspen, and yellow birch in stand. Stand is low wetland except part NE is slightly higher ground. Overall a Q stand mostly small trees.</p>														
9	M 6	F 1	16		9	80	65	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
<p>comnts Fmd : M6, Cut Permit #27-98-01 in 2000, red maple, yellow birch, hemlock stand. Some low wet areas. No regeneration yet except fir and some red maple sprouting. Good den trees. Some quality stems including some sugar on higher ground. Stand is mostly lower ground except south is higher.</p>														
10	P 6	F 1	3	61	10	100	70	balsam poplar & swamp aspen and swamp white birch	mature	Y		10-19 years	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Delayed treatment for age/size class diversity</p> <p>comnts Fmd : Small patch of large balm and aspen mixed with cedar. Somewhat low ground. Recommend leave until next cycle and combine with adjacent hardwood when thinned again.</p>														
11	M 6	F 1	7		9	80	53	northern hardwood	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Poor quality hardwood stand. Some big hemlock. Some hemlock has died as well as most fir. Fir has started over but some up to 4 stick, especially on edge and scattered. BA lower. Good den trees. Remote and along McAfee creek. Good riparian old growth values in this stand so put in biodiversity maintenance.</p>														
12	Q 6	Q 1	197	96	8	70	27	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	Y		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Influence zones Water quality/bmps</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Sparse areas with alder, mix of E,Q, and C. Patches of E and but mostly Q or C. Some larger trees and blowdown areas but mostly small. Numerous streams or drainages. NW mostly Q4 or Q5 with alder but most of stand adequately stocked but not very thick. Small areas of higher ground with some fir mixed with cedar and red maple. Stand was put into biodiversity maintenance to protect riparian values of the tributaries of Werner and McAfee Creeks.</p>														
13	M 6	F 2	4		8	100	53	northern hardwood	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Blocked by other physical obstacle</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Like stand 14 but put into biodiversity maintenance to protect riparian values of Werner creek. Also blocked by private land on high ground.</p>														
14	M 6	F 2	12		8	100	53	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Blocked by other physical obstacle Water quality/bmps Bridge needed (portable bridge not available or inadequate)</p> <p>comnts Fmd : Mix of M6 and F6 mostly poor quality red maple, lower BA due to poor site and past fir mortality. Not much fir away from swamp edge. Ash swale in center e-w. Would need larger culvert or bridge to access.</p>														

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	Age	Ave. D	B	Tot. BA	Site Indx	Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
15	M 6	M 1	12	8	70	65	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N			10-19 years	0	
<p>comnts Fmd : Cut prmt. # 30-98-01 in 2003. Mostly sugar but some hem, cherry, red maple. Also couple beech and basswood. 3-4 stick. Some small 3' tall cherry coming in so far for regeneration, not much else yet. Still a lot of low forked trees to remove next thinning. Trees mostly small, few logs. Wet swale in narrow spot between high ground areas.</p>														
17	Q 3	X 0	2	33	0	28	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N			not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Q3 2" dbh well-stocked lowland conifers including tamarack, b.spruce, and cedar. Cedar regeneration is excellent throughout but more pure west where it is wetter. Could be called C3 there. Cedar 6' tall up to 4" dbh and out of reach of deer. Put into biodiversity maintenance to be a part of the larger landscape and possible ecological reference area for cedar.</p>														
18	C 9	C 3	57	206	12	120	28	cedar	old growth (potential or actual)	Y		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Blocked by other physical obstacle</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Very large cedar 24" in much of this stand, especially for swamp cedar. Trees mostly have rot. Some cutting has occurred in past along high ground and in strip which is typed as a separate stand. Most of the stand has never been cutover and shows no old stumps. Trees aged at over 200 years. Some areas thick overstory C9C6 but most of stand is somewhat sparse due to trees maturing and blowing down, creating gaps. Many yellow ladyslippers and other orchids. Well-developed shrub layer, much more than average in unit, includes leatherleaf, alder, bog rosemary and others. Excellent cedar regeneration in gaps from 6" tall on up to 4" dbh. More fir regeneration along upland edges but interior is cedar. Since this is an existing old growth stand and shows excellent cedar regeneration, recommended stand for an ecological reference area and placed into biodiversity maintenance. Stand is surrounded by private land and also protects some riparian values of Scott's creek..</p>														
19	F 6	F 2	9	56	6	70	50	spruce-fir (uplands-including upland black spruce)	old growth (potential or actual)	Y		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Spruce/fir 2-4" stick and smaller. Thick. Also aspen, birch, balm. Thick cedar understory as well throughout 2-4" dbh. General wide spacing and low BA with some trees up to 20" dbh although most trees are small. This part put into recommended potential old growth to protect riparian values of the creek and as part of an existing landscape with actual old growth cedar stand north.</p>														
20	Q 6	C 1	6	61	6	70	40	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Influence zones</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Buffer strip and associated lowland along Scott's creek. Out in biodiversity maintenance to protect riparian values of the creek. Some alder and willow areas of lowland but overall a Q stand with smaller cedar, fir and spruce. Some w. birch as well. Buffer contains a slope rising ten feet in elevation from the lowland and is mostly sp/fir. Beavers have eliminated aspen in the recent past.</p>														
21	A 3	X 0	27	16	4	0	70	aspen (upland)	immature	N		30-39 years	0	
<p>comnts Fmd : A3, 2-4" dbh with some trees up to 6" 2-stick. Swale E-W through stand center mostly 2" dbh balsam poplar medium to well-stocked with alder. 2 chains wide. Should be well-stocked there at maturity. Some F2 up to 10' along edge of stand north due to beaver removing aspen there. That area about 50' wide.</p>														
22	A 6	X 0	4	23	6	70	70	aspen (upland)	immature	N		30-39 years	0	
<p>comnts Fmd : 2-3 stick aspen well-stocked 4-6" average dbh. Some fir and hardwood mixed in, not a lot.</p>														

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	Age	A c r e s	avg. D		Site Indx	Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
					B H	Tot. BA								
23	A 3	X 0	17	13	2	0	70	aspen (upland)	immature	N		40-49 years	0	
comnts Fmd : A3A2 2" dbh average. Mostly well-stocked. Some low areas non-stocked or F2F1 seeding in. 4-5 acres F2 and low southeast part of stand.														
24	E 6	E 1	20	76	7	80	50	swamp hardwoods	mature	N		10-19 years	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Inferior quality Too wet														
comnts Fmd : Dead tops and mortality in ash. Mostly 2-stick ash. Scattered red maple yellow birch, and spruce. Overall not large trees except some large yellow birch. Poor timber quality. Small ash 3' and fir in understory. Low wet ground. Sparse in areas E4 or E5.														
25	Q 5	E 2	30	61	6	60	68	mixed swamp conifer	immature	N		30-39 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Mix of F,Q,E. Some higher ground but mostly low. Mostly same ash between hardwood stands. More E & Q center, west of grade is more wet L type. Q6Q5 but some areas poorly stocked. Overall Q5 due to some flooding west and a sparse center.														
26	M 6	M 1	5		8	70	65	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Cut prmt. # 30-98-01. Mostly sugar but some hem, cherry, red maple. Also couple beech and basswood. 3-4 stick. Some gaps due to balsam poplar clumps taken out in 2003 with sale. Some small 3' tall cherry coming in so far for regeneration.														
27	M 6	F 1	12		7	70	45	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Mostly poor timber quality red maple. F3 and M3 in spots. Some good potential stems. Dead fir area from past budworm. Patches of 80 BA well-stocked mixed with old open area filling in F5M5F3. Check in ten years. Possible to clearcut part where aspen is. Aspen not throughout but only 1/4 the stand. Aspen is mostly young yet as is most of the stand with only 3-4 stick trees and smaller. Need private property boundary lines.														
28	F 6	F 2	8	61	6	90	45	spruce-fir (uplands-including upland black spruce)	immature	Y		10-19 years	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Delayed treatment for age/size class diversity														
comnts Fmd : No aspen. Mix of M,F,B with cedar throughout. Wet near center but mostly upland. Mostly small timber except bigger spruce. Small stand, recommend looking at it for harvest when adjacent hardwood is thinned again. Will be difficult to work around all cedar as it is a large component of stand.														
29	C 6	C 1	52	66	6	80	45	cedar	immature	N		not scheduled	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints														
comnts Fmd : C6C4Q4. C6 edge, more Q4 in center. Small 1-2 stick trees with alder. Mostly cedar overall with some young w. birch in gaps. 2-3" dbh to 2 stick. High BA cedar on edge and in spots but somewhat sparse overall. Some areas fir and spruce over 3' ash. Scattered large spruce but mostly 3-4 stick.														
30	M 5	M 1	6		8	40	65	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		20-29 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Cut prmt. # 30-98-01. A lot of Balsam poplar removed from stand in 2003. Mostly M5, good quality maple poles but understocked. Good regeneration of Balm in gaps.														
31	S 6	F 1	1	56	7	80	45	black spruce-swamp	immature	N		20-29 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Low ground Q, M, H fades down to spruce bog west S6. Small area left for diversity last sale in area. Mostly small trees well-stocked including some small 2-3 stick hemlock.														
32	E 5	E 2	6	61	6	50	35	swamp hardwoods	immature	N		40-49 years	0	
comnts Fmd : small 1-2 stick ash mostly with spruce, yellow birch, cherry, red maple scattered. Well-stocked with ash down to 2" dbh.														

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	A c r e s	Age	avg. D		Site Indx	Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
					B H	Tot. BA								
33	M 6	M 1	5		8	90	65	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Cut prmt. #30-98-01 in 2003. Some tight spots of 110-120 BA left where under marked but overall ok. No regen yet. Good quality small pole stand.														
34	Q 5	E 3	74	76	6	50	29	mixed swamp conifer	immature	N		30-39 years	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints														
comnts Fmd : Q5Q4E3. Scattered patches of Q6 among 2" dbh ash. Some scattered individual softwood and larger ash up to 3 stick or a bit larger. Low and only marginally productive. Grade flooded south.														
35	M 6	F 1	11		10	80	75	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Thinned 1990. BA still low in spots. Mostly red maple and cherry of somewhat poor timber quality. F3 under near swamp edge. Some hardwood regeneration, cherry, not much sugar maple. Some nice sugar maple north. Some spots could be thinned but most of stand not ready. Road south is flooded and needs a stream crossing upgrade.														
36	M 6	M 1	1		10	70	55	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Small stand. Some blowdown especially along wetter edge. Beech and hemlock in stand. Good diversity but somewhat poor timber quality although potential for improvement. Thin when adjacent hardwoods are thinned next time.														
37	Z 0	Z 0	17			0		water	nonstocked	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : Beaver recently active. High ground has some small trees especially fir and spruce with some cedar. More cedar west near the property line. Grade flooded in this area and will need a stream crossing upgrade before any timber is cut north.														
38	A 6	A 2	41	33	6	80	55	aspen (upland)	immature	N		20-29 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Small 3-4 stick aspen. Some swales with alder. Mostly well-stocked. Thick fir in areas. Wetter soil mostly. Higher ground 8" up to 5 stick. Some F3F2 areas, especially North.														
39	M 6	M 1	2		12	80	55	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Small stand, poor quality timber. Mostly red maple, yellow birch and hemlock. W.Pine scattered. Was cut when adjacent aspen was harvested. Basal area still too low for thinning. Good wildlife trees here. Large diameters but not many logs. Lot of rot, fungus etc.														
40	C 6	F 1	48	116	8	110	26	cedar	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Water quality/bmps														
comnts Fmd : SCA. Mostly cedar stand but areas of Lowland conifers as well as small north/south higher ridges of hemlock, red maple with upland cedar. Some areas only C4 with alder and small fir mixed. Big cedar south but not tall or straight. Stand put into old growth potential because stream buffer and riparian values.														
41	M 6	M 1	10		10	90	55	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : remote stand of mostly poor timber quality. Good potential in center of stand about 1/3 of the acreage. All the lowland edge is poor. Was cut when aspen south was cut. Fairly heavy cut at that time so most of stand not ready for thinning. Regeneration is mostly fir and black cherry along edges 1-2 stick. Cedar swales in stand. Need private land access. Grade flooded south needs stream crossing upgrade.														
42	A 3	A 1	9	4	1	0	70	aspen (upland)	immature	N		50-59 years	0	
comnts Fmd : A3, cut prmt.#28-98-01 in 2000. 1/2" to 1" dbh well-stocked mostly but a few sparse areas with red maple sprouts, etc. Some areas trees only 4' tall. Areas of balsam poplar regeneration.														

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	Age	A c r e s	avg. D			Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
					B H	Tot. BA	Site Indx							
43	M 6	M 1	69		8	90	65	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Good quality mostly. Remote. Cut prmt.# 28-98-01. Kanerva in 2003. Was undermarked in areas, still a lot of low forks and cankers yet. Not enough volume to thin again this entry period. Not a lot of regeneration yet but some areas have good 2' tall regeneration. Couple gaps with taller aspen regeneration 10' tall. More red maple stand south.														
44	L 0	X 0	16			0		lowland brush	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : Lowland brush along McAfee creek. Mostly alder 3" dbh and 15' tall.														
45	E 6	E 1	39	66	7	70	46	swamp hardwoods	immature	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : Mostly E but some Q especially north. Wet small ash and red maple, some E3														
46	M 6	M 1	36		10	70	70	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		20-29 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Cut prmt.#28-98-01 in 2000. Good quality. Smaller trees than hardwood stand west. Almost M9. Some regeneration especially along road. Other areas regeneration sparse.														
47	A 3	A 1	29	4	1	0	70	aspen (upland)	immature	N		50-59 years	0	
comnts Fmd : A3, cut prmt.#28-98-01 in 2000. 1/2" to 1" dbh well-stocked mostly but a few sparse areas with red maple sprouts, etc. Some areas trees only 4' tall. Areas of balsam poplar regeneration.														
48	L 0	X 0	17			0		lowland brush	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : SCA Lowland brush, mostly alder along creek. Some drained beaver areas containing mostly grass or sedge. Stand in potential old growth to protect riparian values along creek.														
49	Q 6	Q 1	280	96	6	90	27	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	Y		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Potential or designated old growth Influence zones <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Mix of lowland conifers and lowland hardwood. Mostly lowland conifer although areas of pure small ash as well as some balsam poplar in spots. Some areas E4. Some small upland ridges, mostly north/south that contain a mix of fir, hemlock, cedar and red maple. Most of stand is lowland conifer, heavy to cedar. Cedar is mostly small except near high ground where it reaches 12" -16" dbh. Put in potential old growth to protect riparian values of Werner creek and numerous feeder streams in the stand. Flowing springs NE part.</p>														
50	M 9	M 1	47		14	90	65	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Cut prmt.#28-98-01, Kanerva in 2003 Mostly good quality sugar maple stand. Some red maple, yellow birch, black cherry. Some patches of regeneration 4' tall, other areas no regeneration yet. Was undermarked in areas but most areas ok so not ready overall for thinning yet.														
51	A 3	Q 3	7	4	1	0	61	aspen (upland)	immature	N		50-59 years	0	
comnts Fmd : well-stocked aspen 1" dbh. Cut permit #28-98-01. Some cedar left. Also some residual larger hardwood left in this stand.														
52	A 3	A 1	7	4	1	0	70	aspen (upland)	immature	N		50-59 years	0	
comnts Fmd : A3, Cut prmt.#28-98-01. Well stocked aspen 1" dbh. Some cedar left during harvest especially along edge.														

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Size Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	A c r e s	Age	avg. D		Site Indx	Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
					B H	Tot. BA								
53	M 6	M 1	46	9	90	65	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N			20-29 years	0	
comnts Fmd : FDF, Cut prmt.#28-98-01 in 2003. Regeneration mostly ironwood at this time. Some sugar regeneration 2-4' looks ok in many spots. Stocking good in this stand, not undermarked. A few gaps contain aspen regeneration due to aspen taken out at harvest. Some vernal ponds in stand. Mostly sugar maple stand with a few cherry, basswood and yellow birch.														
54	E 6	E 2	182	66	6	80	50	swamp hardwoods	immature	N		40-49 years	0	
comnts Fmd : North is more E4Q4 poorly stocked. Center thick cedar and lowland conifer but small, about 1/2 Q6 and 1/2 C6. Trees mostly 2 or 3 stick fir and w. birch over thick small cedar. 4 stick scattered red maple and ash with some conifers. Most of south central is Q4 or Q5 with lowland brush and small ash. Whole stand is a mix of lowland hardwood and lowland conifer with smaller cedar throughout. Some small areas of upland with larger cedar and a few hemlock and hardwood. Couple larger patches of cedar of maybe 10 acres.														
55	F 6	F 1	4	76	8	80	56	spruce-fir (uplands-including upland black spruce)	mature	Y	final harvest	within 0-9 years	2	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Blocked by other physical obstacle Road needed (resources not presently available) Land survey needed <p>comnts Fmd : Some aspen in southeast and wetter areas south. Fir is 2-4 stick and some spruce is larger. Part of this stand will convert to aspen but other parts will not. Leave all white pine and hemlock in harvest as well as cedar if present. Small stand. May not be feasible due to survey needed, private land access and wet winter access.</p> <p>Wld :</p>														
56	H 9	H 1	9	76	14	80	29	hemlock	mature	N		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Blocked by other physical obstacle Road needed (resources not presently available) <p>comnts Fmd : Large hemlock on the edge of hardwood and swamp. Some big cedar also. Hemlock looks healthy. Some red maple, fir mixed in, mostly smaller trees.</p>														
57	M 6	F 1	22	8	70	53	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N			10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Upland with some wet swales. A few aspen scattered but not throughout stand. Fir small. Hardwood mostly poor timber quality but some potential to improve quality. Large den trees. Most hardwood and birch is 3-4 stick however. Basal area low due to past fir and aspen mortality. Patches of large hemlock and cedar on upland area west which is more of an island in the swamp rather than a peninsula as shown. Probably manage stand as hardwood if and when accessible and basal area reaches thinning target.														
58	C 9	C 1	17	96	12	120	30	cedar	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Influence zones Potential or designated old growth <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Stand mostly large cedar but some other patches of lowland conifer or ash. Stream is 3 feet wide. North and east mostly lowland conifer or ash but along stream large high basal are cedar. Put into biodiversity maintenance to protect riparian values of the stream and werner creek along with the large old cedar.</p>														
59	Z 0	Z 0	5			0		water	nonstocked	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : WHITEFISH RIVER SEC 14														

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	A c r e s	Age	avg. D		Site Indx	Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
					B H	Tot. BA								
60	A 6	F 1	14	71	14	90	70	aspen (upland)	mature	Y		10-19 years	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u>														
Blocked by other physical obstacle														
Bridge needed (portable bridge not available or inadequate)														
Road needed (resources not presently available)														
comnts Fmd : Big aspen with small hardwood underneath. Would be a fair hardwood stand of mostly red maple. Should be cut to manage for aspen but stand is very remote. Would need to cross several streams including one larger stream. Also cross larger swamps with winter access to freeze road. Does not seem economically feasible at this time..														
61	M 6	M 1	10		7	110	60	northern hardwood	old growth (potential or actual)	N		10-19 years	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u>														
Blocked by other physical obstacle														
Road needed (resources not presently available)														
Potential or designated old growth														
comnts Fmd : SCA. Good quality potential, nice sugar maple 8" dbh with larger poor timber but good den trees. Very remote. Some aspen on north edge but nice hardwood there too. Would need stream crossings and winter road. Was put into biodiversity maintenance last entry period to provide representation of hardwood and protect stream riparian values.														
62	M 6	F 1	25		8	100	55	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u>														
Blocked by other physical obstacle														
Road needed (resources not presently available)														
comnts Fmd : west side poor red maple somewhat wet soil. Some aspen, about 1/3 of the stand but overmature and continuing to drop out. East side better quality with some nice sugar maple, maybe 5 acres total. Site index there would be about 70 for sugar. Most areas too low basal area to thin. Larger trees up to 24" dbh there and crowns tight but only 1/4 of total area ready for thinning if managed for hardwood. Ideally parts of west would be clearcut and the rest managed for hardwood but stand is very remote with numerous stream crossings and a long new winter road access.														
63	Q 6	Q 1	3	76	7	100	28	mixed swamp conifer	immature	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : Small bog spruce with cedar, w.pine, hemlock and red maple. 4 stick south. 3 stick north with gaps smaller and younger there. Some lowland conifer areas but mostly a spruce bog. Cedar and hemlock on some of the upland edge.														
64	A 6	E 3	3	76	14	70	67	aspen (upland)	mature	Y	final harvest	within 0-9 years	2	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u>														
Inadequate volume due to small acreage														
Blocked by other physical obstacle														
comnts Fmd : Very sparse old blowdown on edge but hardwood edge is better stocked.. Some low wet areas. Could cut this if access can be gained														
Wld : Leave all white spruce, hemlock, white pine, elm, cherry, and cedar.														
66	Q 6	F 1	95	61	7	80	29	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u>														
Potential or designated old growth														
Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints														
comnts Fmd : SCA. Partially cut about 30 years ago. Mix of E and Q along with some low hardwoods red maple etc. Wet sparse small timber with scattered larger spruce or cedar. Some patches of thicker cedar. Wet swales with small black ash. Put in biodiversity maintenance to protect riparian values of creeks here.														
Wld : Maintain as movement corridor for small mammals, amphibians, and birds. Promote biological legacies such as snags, DWD, and intact nutrient cycles.														
67	M 6	M 1	1		8	110	53	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		30-39 years	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u>														
Inadequate volume due to small acreage														
comnts Fmd : small island of hardwood left in clearcut for diversity. Not worth thinning by itself. Could thin when stand is clearcut again. Mostly red maple 6-8" dbh with bigger old yellow birch and some upland cedar. Some good potential for sugar maple in spots.														

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	A c r e s	Age	avg. D		Site Indx	Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
					B H	Tot. BA								
68	M 6	M 1	2		8	70	57	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Poor timber quality hardwood. Almost a lowland hardwood type but mostly upland. Larger yellow birch with small red maple. Stream side has some large upland cedar mixed. Not ready for thinning as BA not excessive, most trees are small. Some wet swales. A few aspen east side but overall not much and not enough to convert to aspen stand.														
69	F 3	X 0	33	13	4	0	57	spruce-fir (uplands-including upland black spruce)	immature	N		40-49 years	0	
comnts Fmd : F3 mostly well-stocked 4" dbh average with some 1 stick. Patches of A3 2-4" as well as balsam poplar. South is more aspen. Some patches of M6 red maple residual. Wet areas with some swamp conifers left unharvested along with other wet non-stocked swales with willow.														
70	E 6	E 2	58	63	8	90	48	swamp hardwoods	immature	N		30-39 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Mix of C,Q,H,F,some cutover areas cut 30 years ago. Small ash mostly north and east. South has a patch of bigger cedar and hemlock mixed with hardwood. Some higher ground there. Thick cedar in areas. Most is low wet small black ash. Some larger fir, aspen, red maple mixed in areas north with small ash but largerbtrees scattered and sparse. Low wet with water low. Unknown if stream here due to large amount of recent rain.														
71	M 6	F 2	6		8	70	53	northern hardwood	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Blocked by other physical obstacle														
comnts Fmd : SCA. Mix of upland hardwood and lowland hardwood. Hardwood is red maple, yellow birch. Some hemlock, cedar, along with balsam poplar, w. pine, black ash, fir. Mostly small trees in most of stand. Put in potential old growth last entry period.														
72	M 6	M 1	6		8	120	65	northern hardwood	unevenaged	Y	selection	within 0-9 years	2	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Land survey needed Blocked by other physical obstacle														
comnts Fmd : Good quality stand, should thin. Need lines and permission to cross private land. Tight crowns, no regen. Good quality potential. Winter access due to wet ground on private. Wld : Strive to promote overstory tree species diversity and size-class diversity. Leave all white spruce.														
73	L 0	L 0	3					lowland brush	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : SCA. Drained beaver pond. Put in bio maintenance to protect riparian value.														
74	A 4	E 3	22	76	10	30	67	aspen (upland)	mature	Y		not scheduled	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Inadequate volume due to low stocking/small diameter/etc. Blocked by other physical obstacle														
comnts Fmd : Very sparse old blowdown. Mostly P3 or E3. Scattered large aspen, spruce, balm. Mostly low wet. Some high spots of 1/4 acre with A6 but not much. Several patches of E3, P3,L.														
75	Q 6	F 1	96	76	7	80	28	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Influence zones														
comnts Fmd : SCA. Patches of lowland hardwood or low red maple but cedar and lowland conifers mostly throughout and in patches. Some small areas of upland containing cedar and hemlock. Sparse areas as well as thickly stocked cedar areas. Put in biodiversity maintenance to protect riparian values of streams in stand. Some areas especially north along Werner creek are low elm type stands only containing red maple.														

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	Age	Ave. D	Tot. BA	Site Indx	Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
76	M 6	F 3	22	10	120	55	northern hardwood	unevenaged	Y	selection	within 0-9 years	2	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Blocked by other physical obstacle Water quality/bmps</p> <p>comnts Fmd : Mostly red maple of poor timber quality but some good potential. Thick fir under. Access poor. Larger yellow birch. Few aspen. Some hemlock and upland cedar.</p> <p>Wld : Strive to maximize overstory tree species diversity and size-class diversity. Leave some overstory aspen. Retain all white spruce.</p>													
78	M 6	M 1	50	8	130	70	northern hardwood	unevenaged	Y	selection	within 0-9 years	2	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Blocked by other physical obstacle Water quality/bmps Land survey needed</p> <p>comnts Fmd : Good quality hardwood but very few logs. Lots of defect but good potential for improvement. Scattered large remnant trees with rot. Few aspen. Mostly sugar. Vernal ponds. Some canker, low forks etc. but good straight stems. Some y. birch and hemlock, Need survey and access across wetland and streams from delta county deadhorse road.</p> <p>Wld : Highly scattered hemlock poles in substory, though conifer component is rare in understory and overstory. Promote yellow birch and cherry in overstory. Strive to improve size-class diversity. Leave all white spruce. Leave some scattered overstory aspen.</p>													
79	M 6	M 1	13	8	130	70	northern hardwood	unevenaged	Y	selection	within 0-9 years	2	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Blocked by other physical obstacle Water quality/bmps Land survey needed</p> <p>comnts Fmd : Good quality hardwood but very few logs. Lots of defect but good potential for improvement. Scattered large remnant trees with rot. Few aspen. Mostly sugar. Some canker, low forks etc. but good straight stems. Some y. birch and hemlock, Need survey and access across wetland and streams from delta county deadhorse road.</p> <p>Wld : Uncommon supercanopy white pine. Scattered larger hemlock along margin of stand. Very little cherry and white spruce in stand. Retain all cherry and white spruce. Promote yellow birch in overstory. Enhance size-class diversity of stand.</p>													
80	M 6	M 1	4	8	130	70	northern hardwood	unevenaged	Y	selection	within 0-9 years	2	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Blocked by other physical obstacle Water quality/bmps Land survey needed</p> <p>comnts Fmd : Good quality hardwood but very few logs. Lots of defect but good potential for improvement. Scattered large remnant trees with rot. Few aspen. Mostly sugar. Some canker, low forks etc. but good straight stems. Some y. birch and hemlock, Need survey and access across wetland and streams from delta county deadhorse road.</p> <p>Wld : Retain all cherry and white spruce. Promote and conserve yellow birch in the overstory. Emphasize size-class diversity in stand.</p>													
81	M 6	M 1	12	8	110	60	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N	selection	within 0-9 years	2	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Road needed (resources not presently available) Blocked by other physical obstacle Influence zones</p> <p>comnts Fmd : Mostly poor timber quality red maple. Patches and scattered hemlock, with some very large in good shape. Some upland cedar. Red maple quality can be improved through thinning. Very remote with several stream crossings and winter access and need private land access. Probably not feasible to cut.</p> <p>Wld : Leave all white spruce. Retain at least 50% of cherry in overstory. Scattered small hemlock poles to west side of stand. Enhance size-class diversity and species diversity in stand.</p>													

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	Age	Ave. D	B	Tot. BA	Site Indx	Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
82	Q 6	F 2	11	76	8	80	30	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Influence zones Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Mix of lowland conifer and lowland hardwood, heavy to cedar. Whitefish river buffer so biodiversity maintenance protects riparian values of the river.</p>														
83	Q 6	F 2	6	76	8	80	30	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Water quality/bmps Influence zones Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints</p> <p>comnts Fmd : Mix of lowland conifer and lowland hardwood, heavy to cedar. Low drainage in stand. Wld : Potential old-growth.</p>														
84	Q 6	F 2	6	76	8	80	30	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Water quality/bmps Influence zones Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints</p> <p>comnts Fmd : Mix of lowland conifer and lowland hardwood, heavy to cedar. Low drainage in stand. Wld : Potential old-growth.</p>														
85	Q 6	F 2	8	76	8	80	30	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Influence zones Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Mix of lowland conifer and lowland hardwood, heavy to cedar. Whitefish river buffer so biodiversity maintenance protects riparian values of the river.</p>														
86	Q 6	F 2	9	76	8	80	30	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Water quality/bmps Influence zones Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints</p> <p>comnts Fmd : Mix of lowland conifer and lowland hardwood, heavy to cedar. Low drainage in stand. Wld : Potential old-growth.</p>														
87	M 6	M 1	12	16	90	75		northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Land survey needed Water quality/bmps</p> <p>comnts Fmd : Big trees but poor timber quality. Good den trees. River buffer. Ready for thinning in some areas but stand is very narrow with lowland on two sides. Would need to get off US 41 with access road and protect river buffer. Recommend leave minor species i.e. cherry and yellow birch in stand. Overall does not look worth setting up a sale here. Recommend wait and thin when stand across the road is ready.</p>														

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Size Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	A c r e s	Age	avg. D		Site Indx	Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
					B H	Tot. BA								
88	M 6	F 3	7		10	80	70	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Thick fir understory 2" dbh. Hardwood low BA not good timber quality but potential is here. Not quite ready for thinning. Mix of Hardwood and fir in overstory. Some areas only M5 or M4 as well as a few areas that are almost ready for thinning. Canker and low forks abound in areas. South edge a lot of hemlock. River riparian and public access stand.														
89	Q 6	F 2	8	76	8	80	30	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Influence zones Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints														
comnts Fmd : SCA. Mix of lowland conifer and lowland hardwood, heavy to cedar. Whitefish river buffer so biodiversity maintenance protects riparian values of the river.														
90	M 6	M 2	16		8	90	58	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		20-29 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Good quality old campground/ whitefish falls area. Waterfalls. Thinned under permit #26-98-01 in 1999.														
91	F 6	F 1	27	66	8	70	50	spruce-fir (uplands-including upland black spruce)	old growth (potential or actual)	Y		not scheduled	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Scenic values/visual values Influence zones Water quality/bmps Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Recreational site														
comnts Fmd : Old campground and waterfall area. Stream buffer, heavy scenic traffic. Some cedar right on river. Most of stand has sparse areas and mix of P3M3F3 with overall F4 and F5. Patches of larger balsam poplar. Thick 2" to 1 stick balsam fir under. Some white pine, esp. on bank. Limestone ledge at river. Some white birch. All trees rather small and young except cedar and pine.														
92	F 6	F 1	12	66	8	70	50	spruce-fir (uplands-including upland black spruce)	old growth (potential or actual)	Y		not scheduled	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Scenic values/visual values Influence zones Water quality/bmps Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints Recreational site														
comnts Fmd : Old campground and waterfall area. Stream buffer, heavy scenic traffic. Some cedar right on river. Most of stand has sparse areas and mix of P3M3F3 with overall F4 and F5. Patches of larger balsam poplar. Thick 2" to 1 stick balsam fir under. Some white pine, esp. on bank. Limestone ledge at river. Some white birch. All trees rather small and young except cedar and pine.														
93	Z 0	Z 0	2			0		water	nonstocked	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : WHITEFISH RIVER SEC 10														
94	Z 0	Z 0	8			0		water	nonstocked	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : WHITEFISH RIVER SEC 14														
95	A 6	F 3	1	46	6	80	50	aspen (upland)	immature	N		20-29 years	0	
comnts Fmd : 3-4 Stick aspen with thick 1 stick aspen under. Thick cedar throughout 2-4" dbh.														

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	A c r e s	Age	avg. D			Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
					B	Tot. BA	Site Indx							
96	M 6	M 3	2		6	70	56	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Small trees 3-4 stick. A few aspen. Fir and cedar on edge. Small stand low BA. Well-stocked due to M3 4" dbh. Stand becomes more F6 type on edge. Some areas with small cedar in stand.														
97	M 6	M 3	4		6	70	56	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Small trees 3-4 stick. A few aspen. Fir and cedar on edge. Small stand low BA. Well-stocked due to M3 4" dbh. Stand becomes more F6 type on edge. Some areas with small cedar in stand.														
99	Q 6	Q 1	2	76	8	100	50	mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints														
comnts Fmd : SCA. Creek buffer stand. Put in biodiversity maintenance to protect riparian values of creek and associated wetland. Some areas better stocked with cedar, fir and spruce. Stand also includes upland edge buffer mostly fir and spruce, but also upland cedar.														
100	N 0	N 0	10					marsh	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : Marsh grass along with willow and alder. Some drained beaver pond areas. Put in biodiversity maintenance to protect riparian values.														
101	Z 0	Z 0	5					water	nonstocked	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : Beaver pond on feeder stream to Werner creek.														
102	M 6	M 1	3		8	90	65	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N		10-19 years	0	
comnts Fmd : Trees mostly small. Lower ba but close to being ready for thin. Small stand. Need access through private.														
103	M 6	M 1	2		8	110	60	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N	selection	within 0-9 years	2	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Road needed (resources not presently available) Blocked by other physical obstacle Influence zones														
comnts Fmd : Mostly poor timber quality red maple. Patches and scattered hemlock, with some very large in good shape. Some upland cedar. Red maple quality can be improved through thinning. Very remote with several stream crossings and winter access and need private land access. Probably not feasible to cut. Wld : Leave all cherry, white spruce, and yellow birch.														
104	M 6	M 1	3		8	110	60	northern hardwood	unevenaged	N	selection	within 0-9 years	2	
<u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Road needed (resources not presently available) Blocked by other physical obstacle Influence zones														
comnts Fmd : Mostly poor timber quality red maple. Patches and scattered hemlock, with some very large in good shape. Some upland cedar. Red maple quality can be improved through thinning. Very remote with several stream crossings and winter access and need private land access. Probably not feasible to cut. Wld : Leave all white spruce, cherry, and yellow birch.														
105	M 6	F 1	4		10	80	67	northern hardwood	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : M6 ridge along N/S creek. Mpostly well spaced red maple of poor timber quality. A few spruce, fir, w. birch. BA lower not overstocked. Was put into potential old growth last entry to prtect riparian values and buffer for stream and confluence.														

GWINN FOREST MGT UNIT

Stand Level Information

Compartment: 93

Entry Year: 2008

* See "Compartment Packets Glossary of Terms" document link on web site for further descriptions and code definitions.

Stand	Cover Type-Dnsty	Under Story-Stkng Level	Acres	avg.		Site Indx	Mgt Obj	Condition	Silv. Criteria Met?	Method Cut	Trtmt. Period	Harvest Priority	Cultural Need
				Age	D B H								
106	I 0	I 0	6			0	local use	nonstocked	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : US-41 ROW SEC. 14													
107	I 0	I 0	2			0	local use	nonstocked	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : US-41 ROW SEC 14													
108	Q 5	F 1	34	61	7	60	29 mixed swamp conifer	old growth (potential or actual)	N		not scheduled	0	
<p><u>Treatment Limiting Factors:</u> Potential or designated old growth Cedar or Hemlock cutting restraints</p> <p>comnts Fmd : SCA. Mix of E and Q along with some low hardwoods red maple etc. Also a lot of sparse areas with lowland brush, wet grass, scattered trees. Wet sparse small timber with scattered larger spruce or cedar. Some patches of thicker cedar. Put in biodiversity maintenance to protect riparian values of Wernewr creek. Buffer stand.</p>													
400	G 0	G 0	6			0	65 grass	nonstocked	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : Filling in with fir and aspen especially on edge.													
401	G 0	G 0	2			0	53 grass	nonstocked	N		not scheduled	0	
402	G 0	G 0	1			0	65 grass	nonstocked	N		not scheduled	0	
403	G 0	G 0	1			0	65 grass	nonstocked	N		not scheduled	0	
comnts Fmd : A3 coming in, still about 1-2 acres open.													
Total Acres.....			2463										